Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/633,517	BEVAN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Yelena G. Gakh, Ph.D.	1743	
All Participants:	Participants: Status of Application: <u>Allowed</u>		
(1) Yelena G. Gakh, Ph.D.	(3)		
(2) Mr. Richard E. Fichter.	(4)		
Date of Interview: <u>01/04/04</u>	Time:		
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:			
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed:  N/A			
Claims discussed:			
Prior art documents discussed:  N/A			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  See Continuation Sheet			
Part III.			
<ul> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</li> </ul>			
•		*	
		;	
Geleve Hale			
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant	Applicant's Representative Si	gnature – if appropriate)	

Application No. 10/633,517

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Applicant agreed with the proposed Examiner's amendment of the Title and Abstract of the application to clarify its subject matter and for the amendment of claim 3 to recite the limitation of claim 1, which overcomes the rejection over the prior art. Independent claims are allowed. New dependent claims 16-24 are added to recite further limitations to the allowed claims 1 and 3.